Amdt dated: September 11, 2008

Reply to Office action of June 12, 2008

Amendments to the Drawings:

Please enter the attached Replacement Sheet including Figure 6. In the

Replacement Sheet, elements 61 and 63 referring to the bridge and extension have

been renumbered 65 and 67 respectively. No new matter has been added with this

amendment

Attachment: Replacement Sheet

Amdt dated: September 11, 2008

Reply to Office action of June 12, 2008

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 10-18 were considered by the Examiner. In this paper, Claims 10 and

12 have been amended, Claims 24-28 have been added, and Claims 1-9 and 19-23

have been canceled without prejudice. Accordingly, Claims 10-18 and 24-28 are now

before the Examiner for consideration. No new matter has been added in this

amendment.

Regarding the Objection to the Drawings

The drawings were objected to for failing to comply with 37 C.F.R. §1.84(p)(4)

because reference character 61 had been used to designate both the "jaw" and

"bridge" in Figure 6. In the section above entitled Amendments to the Drawings and its

associated attachment, Applicant has provided a Replacement Sheet for Figure 6 in

which the bridge has been labeled with reference character 65. Applicant has also

revised the reference character for the "extension" to be reference character 67. In the

section above entitled Amendments to the Specification, Applicant has directed

replacement paragraphs to be entered in the Specification to correspond to these

revisions. No new matter has been added with these amendments.

Summary of the Claim Rejections Presented in the Office Action

In the Office Action, Claim 12 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 as being

Page 8 of 11

Amdt dated: September 11, 2008

Reply to Office action of June 12, 2008

indefinite. Claims 10-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated

by Yawata et al. (PCT Patent Application Publication No. W0 2004/008944). Claims

10-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) is being anticipated by Burbank et al.

(U.S. Patent No. 5,431,668). For at least the reasons described below, Applicant

respectfully traverses these rejections.

Yawata Does Not Disclose a Single Clip Jaw Assembly

Claim 10 relates to a single clip jaw assembly comprising, among other

limitations, a pair of jaws and a housing. The jaw assembly is configured to receive

"only a single surgical clip at a time," and the pair of jaws is adapted to receive a

surgical clip "exteriorly of the jaw assembly." (Emphasis added). Unlike the jaw

assembly recited in Claim 10, Yawata describes a disposable cartridge in which a

"series of clips 108 can be stacked end-to-end in a clip channel 104." (Yawata, page 9,

lines 5-6, Fig. 10, emphasis added). Yawata further describes a mechanism to feed the

clips and to assure complete closing of each clip of these series of clips. (Yawata,

page 9, line 13-page 11, line 10). Thus, a single surgical clip is not received by the

Yawata device from exteriorly of the jaw assembly, as is recited in Claim 10.

Accordingly, for at least the reasons discussed above, Claim 10 is

distinguishable over Yawata Claims 11-18 depend from Claims 10 and recite

additional novel and nonobvious limitations thereon. Accordingly, Claims 11-18 are

likewise distinguishable over Yawata for at least the reasons discussed above with

respect to Claim 10.

Page 9 of 11

Amdt dated: September 11, 2008

Reply to Office action of June 12, 2008

Burbank Does Not Disclose a Single Clip Jaw Assembly

Burbank relates to a "multiple clip applier" (Burbank, col. 5, lines 18-19). The

Burbank device includes mechanisms "to perform the clip closing and feeding

functions." (Burbank, col. 6, lines 21-24). Burbank discloses multiple clips being stored

in a magazine. (See, e.g., Burbank, col. 9, lines 43-46, Figs.1-3). Thus, Burbank fails

to disclose a jaw assembly configured to receive "only a single surgical clip at a time,"

as is recited in Claim 10. Further, Burbank fails to disclose a pair of jaws configured to

receive a clip "exteriorly" of the jaw assembly, as is recited in Claim 10.

Accordingly, for at least the reasons discussed above, Claim 10 is

distinguishable over Burbank. Claims 11-18 depend from Claim 10 and recite

additional novel and nonobvious limitations thereon. Accordingly, Claims 11-18 are

distinguishable over Burbank for at least the reasons discussed above with respect to

Claim 10.

New Claims 24-28

In the above section entitled Amendments to the Claims, Applicant has directed

the addition of Claims 24-28. Claims 24-28 depend from Claim 10 and recite additional

novel and nonobvious limitations thereon. Accordingly, Claims 24-28 are

distinguishable over the applied art for at least the reasons discussed above with

respect Claim 10. Claims 24-28 are supported in the originally-filed specification,

claims, and drawings in this Application. Accordingly, no new matter has been added.

Page 10 of 11

Amdt dated: September 11, 2008

Reply to Office action of June 12, 2008

Conclusion

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the rejections

set forth in the outstanding Office Action are inapplicable to the present claims.

Accordingly, issuance of a Notice of Allowability is most earnestly solicited.

Applicant respectfully traverses each of the Examiner's rejections and each of

the Examiner's assertions regarding what the prior art shows or teaches. Although

amendments have been made, no acquiescence or estoppel is or should be implied

thereby. Any arguments in support of patentability and based on a portion of a claim

should not be taken as founding patentability solely on the portion in question; rather, it

is the combination of features or acts recited in a claim which distinguishes it over the

prior art.

The undersigned has made a good faith effort to respond to all of the rejections

in the case and to place the claims in condition for immediate allowance. Nevertheless,

if any undeveloped issues remain or if any issues require clarification, the Examiner is

respectfully requested to call Applicant's attorney, John F. Heal, at (949) 713-8283 to

resolve such issues promptly.

Sincerely

APPLIED MEDICAL RESOURCES

John F. Heal

Reg. No. 53,008

Tel: (949) 713-8283